

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,876	04/30/2001	Yoshiaki Sumida	1152-0276P	1196
2292	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STI PO BOX 747	EWART KOLASCH	SON, LINH L D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2135	
		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/843,876	SUMIDA, YOSHIAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Linh LD Son	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	oril 2001.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	, , , , ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Brawning Review (FTO-940) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/05.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/843,876 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This written action is responding to the amendment dated 01/21/05.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are amended. Claims 13-17 are newly added.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art, hereinafter "AAPA", in view of Birkler et al, US Patent No. 6516314B1, hereinafter "Birkler".
- 6. As per claims 1 and 17, AAPA discloses "A wireless search device for locating a second wireless search device, each wireless search device comprising: identification code storage means for storing an identification code; identification code input means for inputting an identification code" in (Applicant Specification, page 2 lines 8-11); "notification voice storage means for storing voice data for producing a notification voice for notification" in (Applicant Specification, page 2 lines 15-17); "communication means for automatic transmission and reception of an identification code" in (Applicant Specification, page 2 lines 13-14); "identification code identifying means for identifying

Page 3

Art Unit: 2135

whether an identification code received from the other of the first and second search device matches a code stored in said identification code storage means; and notification means for notifying that a matching identification code has been received by producing the notification voice defined by the notification voice data stored in said notification voice storage means" in (Applicant Specification, page 2 lines 11-19). However, AAPA does not discloses "wherein the notification voice data stored in the notification voice data storage means of one of the first and second search devices are automatically transmitted to the other of the first and second search devices after an identification code match is detected so that the same notification voice is produced by the first search device and the second search device". Nevertheless, Birkler discloses an "Optimization of Change log Handling" invention, which include a capability to synchronize data when an identity of the device is checked against the identification storage means (Col 6 lines 40-47, and Col 7 lines 29-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Birkler to synchronize the notification voice data between the devices and incorporate with AAPA so that right notification data correlated with the identification data can be sounded when the target device is in range. The correct notification will provide a sound identification of both parties when it is in range to hear.

7. Claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art, hereinafter "AAPA", in view of Birkler et

al, US Patent No. 6516314B1, hereinafter "Birkler", and further in view of Kuki, US Patent No. 5940770.

8. As per claims 3 and 16, AAPA and Birkler discloses a wireless search device according to claims 1 and 13". However, AAPA and Birkler is silent on "further comprises: previous identification code storage means for storage of identification codes applied for the previous identification, and for forwarding of an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting to said identification code storage means, and identification code selection means for selecting an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting from the identification codes stored in said previous identification code storage means". Nevertheless, Kuki does disclose "further comprising: previous identification code storage means for storage of identification codes applied for a previous identification (Col 6 lines 1-10, and Col 8 lines 11-15), and for forwarding of an identification code to be applied for a coming meeting to said identification code storage means (Col 6 lines 1-10, and Col 8 lines 11-15), and identification code selection means for selecting an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting from the identification codes stored in said previous identification code storage means (Col 6 lines 1-10, and Col 8 lines 11-15)". Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kuki's method with AAPA and Birkler to provide multiple capabilities to operate in different scenarios.

Art Unit: 2135

9. As per claims 5 and 7, AAPA and Birkler discloses a wireless search device according to claims 1 and 3. However, AAPA and Birkler is silent on "wherein, when, after a matching identification code is received by the first one of the first and second searching devices, the matching identification code is stored in the matching code storage means of the first searching device in association with an identification of a user of the second of the first and second storage devices. Nevertheless, Kuki does in (Col 8 lines 11-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kuki's method with AAPA and Birkler to provide multiple capabilities to operate in different scenarios.

- 10. As per claims 9 and 11, AAPA and Birkler discloses a wireless search device according to claims 1 and 3, wherein said identification code storage means may store a plurality of identification codes" in (Birkler, Col 6 lines 44-48)
- 11. As per claim 13, AAPA discloses "A method of notifying the user of a first search device that the user of a previously identified second search is within a given proximity of the first search device comprising the steps of: causing said first search device to automatically transmit an identification code and listen for a predetermined identification code" in (Applicant Specification, page 2 lines 8-14); However, AAPA is silent on "if the predetermined identification code is received, sending notification voice data to the second search device and sounding a notification voice defined by the notification voice data at the first and second devices". Nevertheless, Birkler discloses an "Optimization

of Change log Handling" invention, which include a capability to synchronize data when an identity of the device is checked against the identification storage means (Col 6 lines 40-47, and Col 7 lines 29-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Birkler to synchronize the notification voice data between the devices and incorporate with AAPA so that right notification data correlated with the identification data can be sounded when the target device is in range. The correct notification will provide a sound identification of both parties when it is in range to hear and soundly identifiable.

- 12. Claims 2, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art, hereinafter "AAPA", in view of Birkler et al, US Patent No. 6516314B1, hereinafter "Birkler", and further in view of Parvulescu et al, US Patent No. 5969636, hereinafter "Parvulescu".
- 13. As per claims 2 and 14-15, AAPA and Birkler discloses a wireless search device according to claims 1 and 13-14. However, AAPA and Birkler does not further teach the notification voice storage means for storing a plurality of notification voice data; and notification voice input/selection means for inputting notification voice data in said notification voice storage means, selection of one of a plurality of notification voice data stored in said notification voice storage means, and storing it in said applicable notification voice storage means. Nevertheless, Parvulescu does teach the notification voice storage means and allow the user to record or select a customized or a generic

voice notification (Col 2 line 63 to Col 3 line 39). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Parvulescu's teaching to provide a flexible, and organize solution to the user to operate the device in different scenarios.

- 14. Claims 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art, hereinafter "AAPA", in view of Birkler et al, US Patent No. 6516314B1, hereinafter "Birkler", and further in view of Parvulescu et al, US Patent No. 5969636, hereinafter "Parvulescu", and further in view of Kuki, US Patent No. 5940770.
- 15. As per claim 4, AAPA, Birkler, and Parvulescu discloses a wireless search device according to claim 2". However, AAPA, Birkler, and Parvulescu is silent on "further comprises: previous identification code storage means for storing an identification code applied for the previous identification, and for forwarding of an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting to said identification code storage means, and identification code selection means for selecting an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting from the identification codes stored in said previous identification code storage means". Nevertheless, Kuki does teach "further comprises: previous identification code storage means for storing an identification code applied for the previous identification, and for forwarding of an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting to said identification code storage means (Col 6 lines 1-10, and Col 8

Art Unit: 2135

lines 11-15), and identification code selection means for selecting an identification code to be applied for the coming meeting from the identification codes stored in said previous identification code storage means (Col 6 lines 1-10, and Col 8 lines 11-15)". Therefore it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kuki's teaching to provide a flexible, and organize solution to the user to operate the device in different scenarios.

- 16. As per claims 6 and 8, AAPA, Birkler, and Parvulescu discloses a wireless search device according to claims 1 and 4. However, AAPA, Birkler, and Parvulescu is silent on "wherein, when, after a matching identification code is received by the first one of the first and second searching devices, the matching identification code is stored in the matching code storage means of the first searching device in association with an identification of a user of the second of the first and second storage devices.

  Nevertheless, Kuki does in (Col 8 lines 11-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Kuki's method with AAPA and Birkler to provide multiple capabilities to operate in different scenarios.
- 17. As per claims 10 and 12, AAPA, Birkler, and Parvulescu discloses a wireless search device according to claims 2 and 4, wherein said identification code storage means may store a plurality of identification codes" in (Birkler, Col 6 lines 44-48).

## Response to Arguments

**18.** Applicant has amended claims 1-8 and added new claims 13-17, which necessitated new grounds of rejection. See Rejections above.

### Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# **Conclusion**

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Linh Son whose telephone number is (571)-272-3856.

Art Unit: 2135

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Kim Y. Vu can be reached at (571)-272-3859. The fax numbers for this

group are (703)-872-9306 (official fax). Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the

status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist

whose telephone number is (571)-272-2100.

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIR.I system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pzr-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son

**Patent Examiner** 

AU 2/35